



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,339	03/09/2001	Richard A. Wiltshire	122923-1000	7334
75	590 12/17/2003		EXAMINER	
Todd E. Landis			WHITE, CARMEN D	
Gardere Wynne	Sewell, LLP			
3000 Thanksgiving Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1601 Elm Street Suite 3000			3714	12
Dallas, TX 75	5201-4767		DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	, ()

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
Office Action Comments	09/803,339	WILTSHIRE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carmen D. White	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will, by stated to the provided period for reply will be stated to the provided period for the provided	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a epply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	November 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Examiner. Note the attache	Johnse Action of form F 10-132.	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)_(d) or (f)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Achieved the certified copies of the priority docume 3. Achieved the certified copies of the priority docume * See the attached detailed Office action for a li 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language priority and the service of the first sentence of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ariority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). est of the certified copies not estic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specific provisional application has bestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No I received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application ation or in an Application Data Sheet. een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific	
Attachment(s)	" □	OTO 440) D	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ⊠ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/803,339

Art Unit: 3714

Detailed Action

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 6, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-18, 20-25, 27-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Luciano* et al or *Yacenda* in view of *Byrne* (5,830,063).

Regarding claims 1-18, 20-25, 27-44, Luciano or Yacenda teaches the limitations of the claims as discussed in the last office action (paper #7, 5/9/03), which is incorporated herein by reference. With respect to the newly added claim features of the participant interface being configured to increase the chances for each of a plurality of pool participants to win a lottery by enabling each of said plurality of pool participants to participate as members of a group having a common interest, at least one lottery pool comprising different sets of lottery numbers provided by different participants; a lottery

Application/Control Number: 09/803,339

Art Unit: 3714

interface recognizing that a winning event occurred when one of the sets of lottery numbers is a winning set and notifying each participant when a win occurs so that they can share the jackpot amount. In an analogous lottery gaming system, Byrne ('063) teaches these features in a group {Super Keno} lottery game where when one participant has a winning set of numbers, plural participants, which play different numbers share in the winning jackpot (abstract; Fig. 1; Fig. 3; col. 2, lines 1-67). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include these features, as taught by Byrne, in the lottery systems of Luciano or Yacenda, to increase player participation and anticipation in the lottery game.

Claims 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Yacenda* in view of *Luciano* et al, further in view of *Byrne* (5,830,063).

Regarding claims 19 and 26, Yacenda and Luciano teach all the limitations of the claims as discussed in the last office action (paper #7, 5/9/03), which is incorporated herein by reference. Further, Byrne teaches the newly added limitations, discussed above.

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Byrne (6,336,862) cites a group-win lottery game.

Examiner's Response to Applicant's Remarks

Applicant argues that the prior art of Yacenda, Luciano and Walker, cited in the prior office action by the examiner does not teach the newly amended claim features.

Application/Control Number: 09/803,339

Art Unit: 3714

This argument is now moot in light of the new grounds of rejection, reciting Byrne ('063),

Page 4

above.

5:00 PM.

USPTO Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carmen D. White whose telephone number is 703-308-5275. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM to

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1078.

Carmen White

Patent Examiner, 3714